Lobster Bait Project: Current Development of Optimized Carrageenan-Based Bait

UMassAmherst

College of Natural Sciences Khine Zin Mra¹, Adrian Jordaan², Amanda J. Kinchla¹, Brian Cheng², and Jynessa Dutka-Gianelli² Center for Agriculture, Food, ¹Department of Food Science, ² Department of Envrionmental Conservation, and the Environment University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA, 01003





United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture

Abstract

Heavy reliance on increasingly limited quotas of Atlantic herring and changing fish migration patterns due to the warming of oceans are currently contributing to the volatility of the lobster fishery. While meat alternatives to herring exist, they raise environmental and consumer concerns. This project aims to provide a reliable bait source to address the shortages caused by policies and habitat change.

The goal is to develop competitive alternative lobster bait from local, commercially available ingredients and waste products of local seafood processing, to increase the resilience of the lobster fishery.

Approach **Developing Lobster Bait** Learning Figure 2. Cooking Figure 3. Cube Figure 1. Observation of of gels by structures of various hydrocolloids done students of Spring by students of Spring '22 carrageenan-FS398E Practicum. Steffens '22 398E based gel with Practicum. wheat protein. Steffens (2022) Mra (2022) Figure 4. Manipulate Preliminary Concentrations Ocean and Conduct Small Scale Trial studies. **Studies** Fukuba **Laboratory** Scale Up Ocean Analysis: **Trials** pH, Moisture Against Content, Herring Texture **Analysis** Water Sample Analysis Figure 5. Scale up of carrageenan-based

bait. Fukuba (2022)

Results





Figure 6. Average Phosphorus Content in 50mL Samples from Sea Water Containing Various Traditional and Alternative Bait Note: Phosphorus content is PO4-P in samples.



Samples from Sea Water Containing Various Traditional and Alternative Bait Note: Nitrogen content is the sum of NH4-N and NO3-N in samples.

Fish Fertilizer in the Gluten Base and Hydrated Soy

Note: To determine the release of phosphorus and nitrogen into ocean water, various conventional and alternative baits were placed into saltwater tanks in triplicate and 50mL water samples were obtained from the tanks over time. Water sample analysis was conducted by University of Maine's Analytical Lab and Maine Soil Testing Service.

Optimization of Carrageenan-Based Bait

Table 1. Product Formulations of Different Carrageenan-Based Bait

	Variants	Description	% of Carrageenan	% of KCI	% of Fish Fertilizer	% of TVP
	V1	Carrageenan Base with TVP to Fertilizer Ratio of 1:4 Produced on 07.27.2022	1.17	1.17	26.67	6.66
	V2	Carrageenan Base with TVP to Fertilizer Ratio of 1:7 Produced on 08.03.2022	1.17	1.17	29.15	4.17
	V3	Carrageenan Base with TVP to Fertilizer Ratio of 1:4 Produced on 08.09.2022	1.33	1.33	26.66	6.67
	V4	Carrageenan Base with TVP to Fertilizer Ratio of 1:7 Produced on 08.09.2022	1.33	1.34	29.16	4.17

Note: Selected prototypes where then sent to the Gloucester Marine Station to compare the bait performance to herring.

Table 2. Performance of Different Carrageenan-Based Bait Against Herring

Variants	Photos		Time Survived		Catch	Herring vs.
			24 hr	72 hr	Lobsters?	Variant
V1	N/A*	N/A*	/	X		Herring
V3	The production of the state of	b High was sort of the special sort of the speci	N/A**	X		Herring
V4	17 17 10 Land State Stat	N/A*	N/A**	X	✓	Herring

Note: The variants listed are the same as the ones showed in Table 1. The photos are of the variants with a diameter and height of 8cm. a, Top View of V3; b, Side View of V3; c, Top View of V4. Mra (2022) "N/A*" indicates missing data. The last column shows which bait, when compared against herring, caught more lobsters on average.




Figure 8. Average Resilience of the Variants Shipped to Gloucester




Figure 9. Average Hardness of the Variants Shipped to Gloucester

Note for Figures 8 & 9: Measurements taken from 2x2x2cm cube samples. "*" indicates samples that were unfit for an accurate measurement.

Conclusions

- According to the trials involving the ocean water tanks, the release of phosphorous and nitrogen suggest that the bait alternatives slowly release the attractant (gurry from the fish fertilizer) into sea water over time.
- Compared to herring as control, field trials indicate that carrageenan-based bait are less resilient, resulting in complete loss of bait shortly after 24 hours.

Future Work

Product Development:

- Continue to optimize the carrageenan-based bait
 - •Needs to last at least 72 hours
 - •Data from supplier of carrageenan, ISI, suggests that decrease to current KCl to carrageenan ratio can lead to firmer carrageenan-based bait
- Investigate if gluten based structures are more resilient than carrageenan-based structures under real ocean conditions

Stakeholder Engagement:

 Conduct interviews with local lobstermen to understand potential barriers of acceptability of alternative bait and strengthen a collaborative relationship for feedback on future bait development

References

How to Measure Hardness I Texture Analyser. (n.d.). Retrieved September 14, 2022, from https://www.stablemicrosystems.com/measure-hardness.h Safety Data Sheet Carrageenan (p. 5). (2021). Ingredients Solutions, Inc.

Section: 3 Quality Control and Laboratory Subject: Carrageenan Procedures (Procedures Manual PM-QC-3.3; WG-002, p. 2). (2013). Ingredients Solutions, Inc. WG-2000 (p. 1). (n.d.). Ingredients Solutions, Inc.

Acknowledgments

Adrian Jordaan, Amanda Kinchla, Brian Cheng, and Jynessa Dutka-Gianelli of University of Massachusetts Amherst are the authors of the proposal for this project. This project is funded by the American Lobster Initiative, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Sea Grant College Program. The work accomplished this past summer was made possible by University of Massachusetts Amherst's Center for Agriculture, Food, and Environment's Summer Scholar Program, the United States Department of Agriculture, and the National Institute of Food and Agriculture. Special thanks to Matthew Steffens and Julia Fukuba for their time, help and advice. Lastly, immense gratitude to Amanda Kinchla for the oppurtunity to work on this project and as well as the guidance, time and effort provided over the course of the summer.